

MOBILE FACILITY PROGRAM REVIEW
ACTION ITEM

ACTION ITEM NO.: 5-58

DATE SUBMITTED: 4/16/2010

SUBMITTED BY: MALS-11

PROBLEM: NAVAIR 19-600-152-6-2 is not written in a logical order. On cards 9 and 9.1 of the 364 day PM, TECs GMJ7, GMJH, GMJU, GMJV, GMNB are annotated on the top of the card. These MFs are "double wide" configurations and the requirements on these cards are specifically for them. Cards 10 and 10.1 of the 364 day, which do not have any TEC code listed on top and therefore apply to all Mobile Facilities, address a corrosion check and any subsequent damage to the MF.

This causes an issue when loaded into Optimized NALCOMIS as PM's are loaded individually so as to automatically generate one PM MAF each time one is due. With the corrosion control requirement being part of the 364 day PM, this will lead to multiple 364 day inspections being loaded into the system, which causes confusion when loading MF's into NALCOMIS as all the 364 day inspections will be different in regards to different TEC codes.

RECOMMENDATION: Propose moving the corrosion and damage check to the 182 day PM cycle vise the 364 day PM, thus making the 364 day PM applicable only to the "double wide" MFs. NALCOMIS will generate less MAFs as there are a smaller number of "double wide's" compared to the standard "single wide" MF, thus reducing man hours spent doing unnecessary leg work. This will also simplify the job being done by Mobile Facility Production Controls, as this will simplify the job done in maintain the LIR's for each MF, as all single wide vans will only require a 91 and 182 day PM being maintained as opposed to all three being filed. The additional corrosion check on the 182 day PM will also ensure corrosion does not build up unnecessarily on the MF's which will lead to longer life spans and better readiness when deployment of the MF is directed.

STATUS: Accepted

ACTION AGENCY: Lakehurst