

Small Purchase
Brand name Mandatory Justification

1. Identify the required supplies or services by keyword nomenclature and model or stock number, and quantity. Identify the proposed source.

The Rajant BreadCrumb multi-radio, wireless transmitter-receiver listed on PID/PR 1300195111 is required to support the National Guard Bureau (NGB) Unified Command Suite (UCS) Information Assurance (IA) project. This equipment includes the Rajant BreadCrumb ME3, Enterprise Edition License, Software License, battery with charger, and replacement battery cable. The Proposed vendor is Rajant Corporation at 400 E King St. #1, Malvern, PA 19355-3258 (Cage Code: 3CSS4, CCR Exp: 1/4/2012). The point of contact is [REDACTED].

However, these items can also be competitively obtained through the following distributor:

Techguard Security LLC
743 Spirit 40 Park Dr. Ste 206
Chesterfield, MO 63005-1129
POC: [REDACTED]

Cage Code: 1RL61
CCR Exp: 4/15/2011

2. What unique capabilities does the proposed contractor/manufacturer possess which make it the only one capable of satisfying this minimum requirement?

The Special Communications Requirements (SCR) Division of the Naval Air Warfare Center Aircraft Division (NAWCAD) St. Inigoes has been tasked to design, build, and field communications systems in vehicles for the NGB UCS IA projects. The UCS was established to prevent, prepare for, and respond to a potential terrorist attack in the United States involving Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD). The program requires reliable and secure communication capabilities between vehicles and respondent personnel in areas where connectivity may be difficult to maintain.

The Rajant BreadCrumb equipment is a wireless transceiver that forms a mesh network when used in conjunction with other Rajant devices that extends the wireless in to inhabitable areas. Previously the Rajant BreadCrumb ME2 was procured for the UCS program totaling approximately [REDACTED]. In support of this tasking, BreadCrumb ME2 corresponding replacement batteries, battery cables, licenses and support services for the current UCS effort was procured totaling approximately [REDACTED]. The requirement for the Rajant equipment brand is specifically to maintain configuration management and interoperability amongst the previously developed UCS systems. This procurement is in accordance with FAR 6.302-1(a)(2)(ii)(B), only one responsible source and no other supplies or services will satisfy agency requirements. Supplies may be

deemed to be available only from the original source in the case of a follow-on contract for the continued development or production of a major system when it is likely that award to any other source would result in substantial duplication of cost to the Government that is not expected to be recovered through competition.

Which of these capabilities are mandatory?

It is mandatory that the Rajant equipment to maintain configuration management and interoperability amongst the previously developed UCS systems.

3. Was a market search or other informal survey conducted? Describe your search or survey?

Searches were done via GSA Advantage and FESTOCK. FEDSTOCK could not provide pricing on the required equipment. Rajant did have some of the items on their GSA schedule; however the item on the GSA schedule was not Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) compliant which is a requirement for the UCS program. Market research was conducted to find distributors/resellers of the required equipment. Rajant Corporation, TechGuard Security, LTI Datacomm and Avana Corporation were contacted for pricing, however, only Rajant Corporation and TechGuard Security responded. Rajant Corporation's open market pricing was the most advantageous pricing for the Government. Per the CCR, this supplier is a small business.

4. What material evidence exists that the Government would be injured if the noncompetitive procurement is not made?

This equipment is critical for the network managing and monitoring of the UCS systems. Failure to procure the required Rajant equipment will impact UCS integration plans and will jeopardize the exchange of intelligence within the fielded UCS systems. A delay in delivery of the required equipment would expose personnel to imminent danger by not providing the appropriate communication capabilities to the user.

Provide the date by which the supplies or services must be delivered. How was this date determined?

In order to meet the system integration deadlines, the required delivery date is **31 May 2011**. This deadline is based upon the UCS integration schedule; therefore it is request that this procurement is awarded no later than **1 April 2011**.

5. What steps are being taken to foster competition in subsequent purchases for similar requirements? If none, why not?

All future UCS requirements for this equipment will be procured in accordance with FAR 6.302-1(a)(2)(ii)(B) in order to maintain system configuration and interoperability.

ORIGINATOR'S CERTIFICATION

I certify that the facts and representations which are included here and which form a basis for this justification are complete and accurate.

SIGNATURE- [REDACTED]

DATE

CONTRACTING OFFICER'S APPROVAL

Based on the justification provided above, I approve this sole source purchase in accordance with NAVSUPINST 4200.85D

SIGNATURE

DATE